# Why Perform Endometrial Ablation? TO AVOID HYSTERECTOMY 

## INCIDENCE OF HYSTERECTOMY

## 7X REDUCED HYSTERECTOMY RATE ${ }^{1,2,3}$ with Minerva ES ${ }^{\circ}$ Endometrial Ablation System

## MINERVA ES

3-Year Follow-up
0.9\%

| Minerva |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-Arm Study <br> n=1 | One Year |  | Three Years |  |
|  | $\#$ <br> Patients | $\%$ | $\#$ <br> Patients | $\%$ |
| Hysterectomy N (\%) | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.9 \%$ |

## NOVASURE

3-Year Follow-up

6.3\%

| NovaSure <br> RCT Study <br> 2 <br> $\mathrm{n}=175$ | One Year |  | Three Years |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ <br> Patients | $\%$ | $\#$ <br> Patients | $\%$ |
| Hysterectomy N (\%) | 3 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{6 . 3} \%$ |

## ANNUAL FINANCIAL IMPACT OF HYSTERECTOMY RATES

|  | 100 Endometrial Ablations |  | 500 Endometrial Ablations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MINERVA ES | NOVASURE | MINERVA ES | NOVASURE |
| Hysterectomy Rate | 0.9\% | 6.3\% | 0.9\% | 6.3\% |
| Number of Hysterectomies | 1 | 6 | 4.5 | 31.5 |
| Robotic Supplies Cost ${ }^{4}$ | \$8,868 | \$53,208 | \$39,906 | \$279,342 |
| Reimbursement ${ }^{5}$ | \$7,595 | \$45,570 | \$34,177 | \$239,242 |
| Cost to Hospital | $(\$ 1,273)$ | $(\$ 7,638)$ | $(\$ 5,728)$ | $(\$ 40,099)$ |

Payment is adjusted by the wage index for each hospital or ASC's specific geographic locality, so payment will vary from the national average Medicare payment levels displayed. Also note that any applicable coinsurance, deductible, and other amounts that are patient obligations are included in the national average payment amount shown.
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